B DIXE

DEC 1 1 2003 TO IN

PD 96065

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re Application of David M. Creighton

Serial No.09/08 2,298

Filed: 5/22/98

Group Art Unit 3729

Examiner: Trinh, M

Date: December 8, 2003

PETITION UNDER 37 C.F.R. 1.137(a)

RECEIVED

Commissioner of Patents P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

DEC 1 5 2003

OFFICE OF PETITIONS

Sir:

Applicant hereby petitions to revive the above-referenced application which was unavoidably abandoned. The facts in this case are as follows:

- The application was filed on 22 May 1998.
- An Office Action was mailed on January 14, 2000.
- A response to the office action was mailed on April 14, 2000. This response included a change of correspondence address. Copies of the transmittal form, the change of correspondence address form, and a return postcard acknowledging receipt are attached as exhibit A. The correspondence address was changed to:

Adjustment date: 01/09/2004 AKELLEY 32/12/2003 AUNDAF1 0000042 500616 09085298 01 FG:1452 110.00 CR

01/09/2004 AKELLEY 00000012 500616 09083298

01 FC:1452 110.00 DA

Vota date: 01/09/2004 AKELLEY 01/09/2004 AKELLEY 00000012 500616 09083298 01 70:1452 110.00 CR

%I/ME/E003 RMDNDAF1 00000042 500616 09085298

01 FC:1452 110.00 DA

Patent Docket Administration RAYTHEON COMPANY Bldg. E1, M.S. E150 P.O. Box 902 El Segundo CA 90245-0902

- Having received no further correspondence in this application, applicant's agent submitted a letter requesting status information on 27 October, 2003.
- On 5 November, 2003, a response to the status letter was received by facsimile from Mr. Larry Schwartz of the Customer Service Center for Technology Center 3700. This response indicated that the application was abandoned for failure to respond to an Office Action as of 29 January 2001. A copy of the facsimile message from Mr. Schwartz is attached as exhibit B.
- Raytheon Company employs a disciplined docketing system in which all incoming correspondence is logged in our docketing database. This system has been used for the prosecution of literally thousands of U.S. Patents. A copy of the docketing log for this application, showing no correspondence received between 14 January 2000 and 5 November 2003, is enclosed as exhibit C.
- On 7 November 2003, applicant's agent transmitted a facsimile letter requesting copies of the Notice of Abandonment and the Office Action of June 30, 2000.
- On 14 November 2003, Mr. Schwartz sent the Notice of Abandonment dated 30 January, 2001, and the Office Action of 30 June, 2000. Copies of the facsimile cover sheet, the Notice of Abandonment, and the cover sheet from the Office Action are enclosed as exhibit D. Note that both correspondences were addressed as follows:

RAYTHEON COMPANY Bldg C01 MS A126 P.O. Box 80028 Los Angeles CA 90080-0028

The above address is for a facility that Raytheon had not occupied for many months at the time these correspondences were mailed. Apparently, mail was no longer forwarded from this address to the new address, and the two correspondences were never received.

An amendment in response to the Office Letter of 30 June 2000 is enclosed.

Applicant holds that the entire delay in filing the required reply from the due date for the reply until the filing of the present petition was unavoidable. Thus revival of the application, continued examination, allowance and prompt passage to issue are respectfully requested.

Respectfully submitted, David M. Creighton

John E. Gunther

Agent for Applicant Registration No. 43,649

Raytheon Company P. O. Box 902 EO/E4/N119 El Segundo, CA 90245

(310) 647-3723 (310) 647-2616 facs Exhibit A

PTO/SB/21 (6-98) Please type a plus sign (+) inside this box + Approved for use through 09/30/2000. OMB 0651-0031				
Patent and Trademark Office: U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE Under the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, no persons are required to respond to a collection of information unless it displays a valid OMB control number.				
	Application Number	09/083,298		
TRANSMITTAL	Filing Date	May 22, 1998		
FORM	First Named Inventor	David M. Creighton		
(to be used for all correspondence after initial filing)	Group Art Unit	3729		
	Examiner Name	M. Trinh		
Total Number of Pages in This Submission 10	Attorney Docket Numbe	PD-96065		
ENCLOS	SURES (check all that app	oly)		
	nent Papers Application)	After Allowance Communication to Group		
Fee Attached Drawing	g(s)	Appeal Communication to Board of Appeals and Interferences		
Amendment / Response Licensin	ng-related Papers	Appeal Communication to Group (Appeal Notice, Brief, Reply Brief)		
After Final Petition and Acc	Routing Slip (PTO/SB/69) companying Petition	Proprietary Information		
	to Convert to a onal Application	Status Letter		
Extension of Time Request Extension of Time Request Address	of Attorney, Revocation, or of Correspondence	Additional Enclosure(s) (please identify below)		
Express Abandonment Request Termina	ıl Disclaimer	Letter to Official Draftsperson		
Information Disclosure Statement Small E	ntity Statement			
	t for Refund			
Document(s) Remarks Response to Missing Parts/]			
Incomplete Application				
Response to Missing Parts under 37 CFR 1.52 or 1.53				
SIGNATURE OF APPLICANT, ATTORNEY, OR AGENT				
Firm or Individual name Leonard A. Alkov, Registration No. 30,021				
Signature DEC 1 5 2003				
Hondel Cl Celler				
Date april 14, 2000 OFFICE OF PETITIONS				
CERTIFICATE OF MAILING				
I hereby certify that this correspondence is being deposited with the United States Postal Service as first class mail in an envelope addressed to: Assistant Commissioner for Patents, Washington, D.C. 20231 on thisdate: April 14, 2000				
Typed or printed name Leonard A. Alkov, Registration No. 30,021				
Signature Lemmed be	Cledien Date	april 14, 2000		

Burden Hour Statement: This form is estimated to take 0.2 hours to complete. Time will vary depending upon the needs of the individual case. Any comments on the amount of time you are required to complete this form should be send to the Chief Information Officer, Patent and Trademark Office, Washington, DC 20231. DO NOT SEND FEES OR COMPLETED FORMS TO THIS ADDRESS. SEND TO: Assistant Commissioner for Patents, Washington, DC 20231.

Exhib.+B

Larry I. Schwartz

United States Patent & Trademark Office

Customer Service Center, Technology Center 3700

703/306-5648

Date:

11/5/03

Total # of pages including cover sheet: 1

To:

John E. Gunther

Recipient Fax:

310-647-2616

From:

Larry I. Schwartz

Administrator

Customer Service Center

Sender Fax:

703-872-9301

Memo:

In response to your status inquiry:

Application 09083298 is currently in Status: 161 /ABANDONED – FAILURE TO RESPOND TO AN OFFICE ACTION as of 01/29/2001. The application is currently assigned to TRINH, MINH Group Art Unit: 3729. The docketed class/subclass is 029/830.000.

Expiration Date: 05/22/18

Raytheon Intellectual Property Docketing Application SUMMARY for PATENT DISCLOSURE: (As of 12/08/2003)

Page

DISCLOSURE INFORMATION:

Date : Attorney : JEG Status : Licensing? : Orig Org : HL-72 Resp Org : 22CD Loc Ref : ELS Product/Program? : Acronym : SAS Assignee : W Cross Ref No : PD No. : 096065

DOD Security : U Date : 04/14/99 : SYSTEM AND METHOD FOR INTERCONNECTING CIRCUIT BOARDS IN A STACK CONFIGURATION Foreign : No U.S. Rating : Re-Rated Date : 03/26/97 Docket Date : 02/23/96 Title

Cost Account : CC44

PTO Group : 3729 Secrecy : No Interference No :

Contract No.

PATENT APPLICATION INFORMATION. :

Allowed Date : Filing Date : 05/22/1998 Serial No. : 09/083,298 Publication Date: Priority Date: - No ASSOCIATE found for -US. Appl Type : -US - UNITED STATES Associate Ref No : Parent:

Storage Retrieved? : Tax Start Date Tax Code : Вож : 0 Storage Auth: Issued Date : Patent No :

Claims : 0 CaseTrack No : 2000-00792 Status Note :

Date OUTGOING Out Date REQUIRED Description INCOMING Date ACTIONS: Code

05/22/98 06/21/99 04/14/00 04/14/00 04/14/00 05/22/98 05/22/98 05/22/98 06/21/99 04/14/00 10/27/03 05/22/98 10/27/03 Ö 07/02/99 04/14/00 10/28/03 08/30/03 03/02/01 12/05/03 RESPONSE TO RESTRICT REQUIRMNT PETITION TO REVIVE PETITION TO REVIVE FINAL AMENDMENT STATUS LETTER AMENDMENT NONE NONE NONE NONE NONE NONE NONE NONE Ę NON Š NON RIR NON NON NON 01/14/00 06/07/99 06/30/00 01/30/01 11/05/03 NON SLA NON NON NON NON NON NON NON RR

SPECIAL INFORMATION:

Z-AXIS INTERCONNECT ORIGINAL DISCLOSURE TITLE: APPLICATION AND AMENDMENT PREPARED BY OUTSIDE COUNSEL (W. BENMAN).

COMBINED CASES: Title

PRODUCT/PROGRAM INFORMATION:

Product/Program Code Product/Program Description

LICENSING INFORMATION:

INVENTOR INFORMATION:

Inventor Name Payroll No. Source Organization

DAVID. M. CREIGHTON

HACE4319

EARNEST. Y. MASUMOTO

HACE3828

22CD 23C562

IEG



Larry I. Schwartz

United States Pat nt & Trademark Office Customer Service Center, Technology Center 3700



703/306-5648

Date:

11/14/03

Total # of pages including cover sheet: 9

To:

John Gunther

Recipient Fax:

(310) 647-2616

From:

Larry I. Schwartz

Administrator

Customer Service Center

Sender Fax:

703-872-9301

Memo:

Attached is a courtesy copy of the Notice of Abandonment and Final Rejection for serial number 09/083,298, as requested.



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE Patent and Tradem rk Office

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington, D.C. 20231

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR			ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	
09/083,298	05/22/98	CREIGHTON		Đ	PD-96065	
RAYTHEON CO	MFANY	GM12/0130	乛	TRINH,	EXAMINER	
BLDG 001 45 A126 ° O BOX 800:				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
LOS ANGELES)28		3729	#9	
•				DATE MAILED:	01/30/01	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

RECEIVED

DEC 1 5 2003

OFFICE OF PETITIONS

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Notice of Abandonment	09/083,298	CREIGHTON E	ΓAL.		
	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Minh Trình	3729			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app			dress		
		-			
· This application is abandoned in view of:					
 Applicant's failure to timely file a proper reply to the Office letter mailed on 30 June 2000. A reply was received on (with a Certificate of Mailing or Transmission dated), which is after the expiration of the period for reply (including a total extension of time of month(s)) which expired on A proposed reply was received on, but it does not constitute a proper reply under 37 CRF 1.113 (a) to the final rejection. 					
(A proper reply under 37 CRF 1.113 to a final rejection consists only of: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114).					
(c) ⊠ No reply has been received.					
 Applicant's failure to timely pay the required issue fee and publication fee, if applicable, within the statutory period of three months from the mailing date of the Notice of Allowance (PTOL-85). The issue fee and publication fee, if applicable, was received on (with a Certificate of Mailing or Transmission dated), which is after the expiration of the statutory period for payment of the issue fee (and publication fee) set in the Notice of 					
Allowance. (b) The submitted fee of \$ is insufficient. A balance of \$ is due.					
The issue fee required by 37 CFR 1.18 is \$ The publication fee, if required by 37 CFR 1.18(d), is \$					
(c) The issue fee and publication fee, if applicable, has n					
3. Applicant's failure to timely file new formal drawings as required by, and within the three-month period set in. the Notice of Allowability (PTO-37).					
(a) ☐ Proposed new formal drawings were received on (with a Certificate of Mailing or Transmission dated), which is after the expiration of the period for reply.					
(b) The proposed new formal drawings filed on are not acceptable and the period for reply has expired.					
(c) ☐ No proposed new formal drawings have been received.					
4. The letter of express abandonment which is signed by the attorney or agent of record, the assignee of the entire interest, or all of the applicants.					
5. The letter of express abandonment which is signed by an attorney or agent (acting in a representative capacity under 37 CFR 1.34(a)) upon the filing of a continuing application.					
6 The decision by the Board of Patent Appeals and Interfe of the decision has expired and there are no allowed claim	rence rendered on and becaims.	use the period for se	eking court review		
7. The reason(s) below:		Lucy nya	7		
		MY01			
	3UPERVIS	LEEYOUNG SORY PATENT EX NOLOGY CENTER	AMINER		



UNITED STA. 2S DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE Patent and Trad mark Office

ddress: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231

DATE MAILED:

FIRST NAMED INVENTOR APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE FATTORNEY BOCKET NO. <u> ひち7 ヹヹ7 ヲお</u> 097083,298 QM32/0630 **EXAMINER** RAYTHEON COMPANY BLDG C01 MS A126 ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER P 0 BOX 80028 LOS ANGELES CA 90080-0028 06/30/80

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

RECEIVED

DEC 1 5 2003

OFFICE OF PETITIONS

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	09/083,298	CREIGHTON ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Minh Trinh	3729			
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet w	vith the correspondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REP THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION	LY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 I.	MONTH(S) FROM			
 Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of after SIX (8) MONTHS from the mailing date of this comm If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) of be considered timely. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statuticommunication. Fallure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will Status 	unication. days, a reply within the statutory tory period will apply and will exp	minimum of thirty (30) days will sire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on _	<u> </u>				
25/23 11112 20201114	This action is non-final.				
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the ments is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
4) ⊠ Claim(s) 1-10 is/are pending in the applicat 4a) Of the above claim(s) 2 and 3 is/are with 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1 and 4-10 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claims are subject to restriction and	ndrawn from consideration	1.			
Application Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Exan					
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are objected	ed to by the Examiner.				
11) ☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) ☐ approved b) ☐ disapproved.					
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d).					
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of the CER	RTIFIED copies of the prio	rity documents have been:			
2. received in Application No. (Series	Code / Serial Number)	<u> </u>			
3.☐ received in this National Stage appli	cation from the Internation	al Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).			
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.					
14) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for d	omestic priority under 35	U,S.C. & 119(e).			
Attachment(s)		•			
15) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 16) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-94) 17) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper N	18) 19) 🔲 No	erview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) tice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) her:			